## **APPENDIX H - TABULATION & EVALUATION FORMS**

#### Oral Bid Tabulation

Appendix H-1

This format may be used to record phone quotes. The object is to assure that all bidders are quoting on the same specification or, if the specification is a brand name and model number, bidders should quote on the specified item or an equal thereto. If the buyer determines that a lesser quality product is acceptable, all bidders must be allowed to requote on the lesser specification.

#### **Proposal Evaluation Instrument**

Appendix H-2

This is a model form that may be used by each member of the RFP evaluation committee to record his/her scores. A separate form is used for each Offeror.

#### **Proposal Evaluation Summary**

Appendix H-3

This form may be used to record points assigned to each of the evaluation criteria for each proposal reviewed by an RFP Evaluation Committee.

#### **Proposal Evaluation Consensus**

Appendix H-4

This form may be used to list the average points assigned to each proposal by the RFP Evaluation Committee. It is a recap of the "Proposal Evaluation Summary" and notes the original and final ranking of each Offeror. Each member of the RFP Evaluation Committee should sign this form.

## ORAL BID TABULATION

ORAL BID IABULATION					
Item/Service Procured	BIDDER	BIDDER	BIDDER		
Describe briefly mandatory requirements:	Name/Address:	Name/Address:	Name/Address:		
	Phone:	Phone:	Phone:		
	Spoke To:	Spoke To:	Spoke To:		
	Delivery/FOB:	Delivery/FOB:	Delivery/FOB:		
	Terms:	Terms:	Terms:		
	Bid Amount(s):	Bid Amount(s):	Bid Amount(s):		
	Other Information:	Other Information:	Other Information:		
Your Name: Phone Number:	Bid Date:	Bid Date:	Bid Date:		

Signature:		

# EVALUATION INSTRUMENT RFP #\_\_\_\_\_

TO PURCHASE:
Name of Offeror:
Name of Evaluator: Date:
Did the Proposal include all information requested in the RFP? YES NO. If NO, indicate deficiencies:
EVALUATION AND AWARD CRITERIA:
1. (State Criteria #1.)
Comments:
Points allowed this criteria: Points assigned by this evaluator for this criteria:
2. (State Criteria #2.)
Comments:
Points allowed this criteria: Points assigned by this evaluator for this criteria:
3. (State Criteria #3.)
Comments:
Points allowed this criteria: Points assigned by this evaluator for this criteria:

4. (State Criteria #4.)
Comments:
Points allowed this criteria: Points assigned by this evaluator for this criteria:
5. (State Criteria #5.)
Comments:
Points allowed this criteria: Points assigned by this evaluator for this criteria:
<pre>General Comments:</pre>
TOTAL POINTS ASSIGNED TO THIS PROPOSAL:  (Total Possible Points = 100)

#### PROPOSAL EVALUATION SUMMARY

	F	nitial Rar inal Ran	nking king			
R	FP #					
To Purc	hase:					
OFFEROR:				F	Page	_ of
EVALUATOR NAME (or other designation)	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL
AVERAGE SCORE FOR TH	IIS OFFE	ROR	I	1	l	

## PROPOSAL EVALUATION CONSENSUS

## RFP #720C-033193-02F Laboratory Reagents and Instrumentation

OFFERORS	Average Points First Ranking	First Ranking	Average Points First Ranking	Final Ranking